**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Sexual Behaviour, Knowledge, Attitude and Practices Regarding HIV/AIDS amongst Female Sex Workers (FSWs) in Red Light Area of Mumbai City

## Purushottam A Giri<sup>1</sup>, Renuka C Hiremath<sup>2</sup>, Abhiram M Kasbe<sup>3</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Dept. of Community Medicine, Rural Medical College, Loni, Maharashtra, India
 <sup>2</sup> Surveillance Medical Officer (SMO), National Polio Surveillance Project, Unit- Betul, MP, India
 <sup>3</sup> Dept. of Community Medicine, T. N. Medical College & BYL Nair Ch. Hospital, Mumbai, India Correspondence to: Purushottam A Giri (drpgiri14@gmail.com)

### **Received Date: 05.09.2012**

#### Accepted Date: 29.09.2012

DOI: 10.5455/ijmsph.2012.1.132-137

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** HIV/AIDS is not only a public health problem but also a social, political and economic challenge. The key concern with HIV infection is not only about infected person, but also about the chain of people infected by that individual and that h/she will probably infect in the future. The prevalence of HIV infection in India has been steadily increasing over the past few decades. Commercial sex workers and their clients are at highest risk for HIV infection and transmission.

**Objective:** The present study describes the sexual behaviour, knowledge, attitude and practices in context to HIV/AIDS amongst female sex workers (FSWs) in red light area of Mumbai city.

**Materials and Methods:** A cross-sectional community based study was conducted among female sex workers in red light area of Mumbai city during period of October 2008 to September 2009. A total 103 female sex workers were selected and interviewed. The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 13.0).

**Results:** In the present study, the mean age of the FSWs was  $26.4 \pm 5.2$  years and 43.8% belonged to lower middle class socio-economic status. Most of the FSWs responded poverty (84%) and broken family (76%) as the main reasons for resorting to the sex trade. Majority of the FSWs (72.8%) were illiterate and more than half (67%) did not have any children. Most of the FSWs (84.5%) were aware about HIV/AIDS but only 33% were aware of the status of their partner. Only 13.6% FSWs knew that HIV could be transmitted to the child through breast feeding from an infected mother.

**Conclusion:** Misconception about HIV was very high. Most of the FSWs in the present study had first sexual debut at a very young age. Routine screening and periodic surveys are warranted in order for early detection of infections including HIV and other STDs.

Key Words: Female Sex Workers; HIV/AIDS; Knowledge; Attitude; Practice; Sexual Behaviour

# **INTRODUCTION**

The HIV/AIDS epidemic is one of the world's most serious public health and social problems. India, the third largest economy in Asia and eleventh largest of the world,<sup>[1]</sup> houses the second largest population around the globe<sup>[2]</sup> with more than one billion people, half of

whom are adults in the sexually active age group. Moreover, the prevalence of HIV infection in India has been steadily increasing over the past few decades.<sup>[3-4]</sup> Thus, even with a small increase in India's HIV/AIDS prevalence rate thereby would imply a significant component of the world's HIV/AIDS burden. According to the data available from National Family Health Survey-3, 2005-06, 2.5 million people in India are infected with HIV.<sup>[5]</sup> The first case of HIV infection was reported in Chennai in 1986 which has since spread to all states and union territories. At present, India has the third largest number of HIV & AIDS cases after South Africa and Nigeria. Sentinel surveillance conducted by the National AIDS Control Organization (NACO) shows that in the general population HIV prevalence is low (0.25-0.43%), but among high-risk groups, HIV prevalence is much more. In at least five states, HIV prevalence among injecting drug users (IDU) is greater than 10%, with a high of 24% of IDUs are HIV positive in Maharashtra. Prevalence is also elevated among female sex workers and men who have sex with men.[6] Commercial sex workers (CSW) and their clients are at the highest risk for HIV infection and transmission.[4,7] Certain states in India viz. Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Nagaland and Manipur have been reported to have high number of HIV infected population in India.<sup>[3]</sup> Though there are many Indian studies on CSWs and HIV prevalence and about their high risk behavior, but little is known about their knowledge, attitude and practices followed by them. Perhaps, such a data can be used as baseline for prevention of number of entrants in sex work and providing a safer and enabling environment for those invariably entering the sex trade. Hence, the present study was conducted in order to assess the socio-demographic profile of the women engaged in commercial sex in Mumbai city and to investigate their behavioral patterns and their knowledge, attitude and practices so as to formulate appropriate intervention strategies to prevent the spread of HIV/AIDS across the country.

# **METHODS**

A cross-sectional community based study was conducted from October 2008 to September 2009 in red light area of Mumbai as notified by the NGOs. The area has been a hub of commercial sex workers and alleged prostitution for decades and has one of the largest fixed CSWs. The study population was comprised of 103 female sex workers, ages 18 and above, who were available during study period and given a written informed consent to participate; irrespective of religion, ethnicity, and belonging to different states of the country and residing in the study area were enrolled for the study. Participants were excluded from the study if they were not available during the study period and unable to give a written informed consent. Institutional Ethical Committee (IEC) approval was sought from the T.N. Medical College, Mumbai. Written informed consent for participation was obtained from each respondent. For the behavioral assessment, face-to-face interviews were done with the FSWs using a semi-structured questionnaire. The questionnaire covered number and types of sexual partners, condom use with different types of partners, knowledge and attitudes towards HIV/AIDS, and perception of HIV risk was assessed based on questions such as ever heard of HIV, misconceptions about HIV, ever undergone HIV testing, feel at risk of being infected. Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STDs) knowledge was assessed based on the ability of the FSWs to correctly identify at least two of the six most common symptoms. The interview schedule was developed in English and translated into Hindi, the local language of the region. To ensure confidentiality, the name of respondent and other parameters that would disclose the identity of the respondent was deleted. Prior to interviewing, each included participant was briefed on the nature of the study and confidentiality. Socio-economic status was assessed by the modified BG Prasad classification.[8] The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 13.0). All values are expressed in the form of frequency, percentages, mean and standard deviation and the chi-square test was applied wherever necessary. Statistical significance was set at P ≤0.05.

# RESULTS

The socio- demographic profile and behavioral pattern of the study participants is shown in Table 1. The mean age of the FSWs was  $26.4 \pm 5.2$  years. Most of the sex workers (43.8%)

Table-1: Socio-Demographic Characteristics and
Behavioral Pattern about HIV/AIDS among Study
Population (Data in parenthesis indicate %)

Particulars         (hera)         P-value           Socio-Demographic Characteristics           Age (in years) $29 - 23$ $29 (28.1)$ < 0.0003 $29 - 33$ $29 (28.1)$ < 0.0003 $29 - 33$ $29 (28.1)$ < 0.0001           Socioeconomic status <sup>T</sup> Upper Middle         18 (17.5)         0.0001           Jumer Middle         18 (17.5)         0.0001         0.0001           Married         24 (23.3)         0.0001         0.0001           Single, never married         20 (17.7)         0.0001           Single, never married         20 (17.7)         0.246           Marriate         75 (72.8)         0.246           Marriate         56 (54.4)         0.74           Religion         Graduate         0 (0.000           Hindu         26 (54.4)         0.74           Musin		(Data în parentnesis î	Number	
Socio-Demographic Characteristics           19 - 23         18 (17.5) $29 - 33$ 29 (28.1)           29 - 33         29 (28.1)           29 - 33         29 (28.1)           29 - 33         29 (28.1)           29 - 33         29 (28.1)           29 - 33         29 (28.1)           29 - 33         29 (28.1)           29 - 33         29 (28.1)           29 - 33         29 (28.1)           29 - 33         29 (28.1)           100         12 (11.6)           Lower Middle         18 (17.5)           Lower Middle         24 (23.3)           Marital Status         Separated/Widow         59 (57.3)           Single, never married         20 (19.4)           Marathi         14 (13.6)           Marathi         14 (13.6)           Others         9 (8.7)           Illiterate         75 (72.8)           Primary         11 (10.7)           Secondary         06 (5.8)           Others         0 (6.5)           Broken         47 (45.6)           Marathi         12 (1.6)           Muslim         29 (28.2)           Christian         12 (1.6) </th <th>Pa</th> <th></th> <th>P- value</th>	Pa		P- value	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Socio	-Demographic Charac		
24 - 28         32 (31.1) $20 - 33$ $29 (28.1)$ 234         24 (23.3) $24 (23.3)$ Socioeconomic status <sup>1</sup> Upper Middle         18 (17.5)           Lower Middle         45 (43.8) $20 (28.1)$ Married         24 (23.3) $20 (19.4)$ Married         26 (50.5) $20 (19.4)$ Married         26 (50.5) $20 (19.4)$ Marriad         14 (13.6) $0.246$ Marriad         14 (13.6) $0.246$ Marriad         16 (15.5) $0.216$ Marriad         16 (15.5) $0.216$ Marriad         16 (10.5) $0.202 (28.2)$				
Age (in years) $29 - 33$ $29 (28.1)$ <0.0003				
$ \begin{aligned}                                    $	Age (in years)			< 0.0003
Socioeconomic status <sup>+</sup> Upper Middle Lower Middle 45 (43.8) Upper Lower         18 (17.5) 45 (45.8) Upper Lower $48 (45.8)$ (15.5)           Marited Separated/Widow         24 (23.3) Divorced/ Separated/Widow $60.001$ Maritial Status         Single, never married Separated/Widow $20 (19.4)$ Maritial Status         Telugu $16 (15.5)$ Mother Tongue         Kannada $12 (11.7)$ Marathi         14 (13.6) $0.246$ Marathi         14 (13.6) $0.246$ Marathi         14 (13.6) $0.246$ Marathi $14 (13.6)$ $0.246$ Marathi $12 (1.6)$ $0.000$ Marathi $12 (1.6)$ $0.74$ Muslim $29 (28.2)$ $0.74$ Religion         Mclear $53 (51.5)$ <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Sobeconjoining status <sup>1</sup> Lower Middle         45 (43.8) (Upper Lower         < 0.0001           Separated/Widow         59 (57.3) Separated/Widow         < 0.0001				
Status <sup>1</sup> Upper Lower         12 (11.6)           Married         24 (23.3)         Married         24 (23.3)           Marital Status         Divorced/ Separated/Widow         59 (57.3)         < 0.0001	Socioeconomic			< 0.0001
Interm         Interm <thinterm< th=""> <thinterm< th=""> <thinterm< t<="" td=""><td>status</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thinterm<></thinterm<></thinterm<>	status			
Maried $24 (23.3)$ Divorced/ Separated/Widow $59 (57.3)$ < 0.0001           Marital Status         Single, never married $20 (19.4)$ Mother Tongue         Bengali $52 (50.5)$ Telugu $6 (15.5)$ Mother Tongue         Kannada $12 (11.7)$ $0.246$ Marriath $14 (13.6)$ $0.246$ Mother Tongue         Marriath $14 (13.6)$ $0.246$ Marriath $14 (13.6)$ $0.246$ Musim $12 (11.7)$ $0.246$ Musim $29 (28.2)$ Musim $29 (28.2)$ $0.74$ Religion         Musim $29 (28.2)$ $0.74$ Nuclear $53 (51.5)$ $0.844 (33.0)$ $0.118$ Age at starting $(Mean \pm SD)$ $24 (22 (21.3)$ $24 (22 (21.3)$ $24 (22$				
Marital Status         Divorced/ Separated/Widow         59 (57.3)         < 0.0001           Single, never married         20 (19.4)         52 (50.5)            Mother Tongue         Rengali         52 (50.5)             Mother Tongue         Kannada         12 (11.7)             Marathi         14 (13.6)              Others         9 (8.7)              Education         Middle         11 (10.7)              Musim         29 (28.2)				
Marial status         Separated/Widow         59 (57.3) $< 0.0001$ Single, never married         20 (19.4) $< 0.0001$ Bengali         52 (50.5) $< 0.001$ Mother Tongue         Kannada         12 (11.7) $0.246$ Marathi         14 (13.6) $0.246$ $0.246$ Marathi         14 (13.6) $0.246$ $0.246$ Marathi         14 (10.7) $0.246$ $0.246$ Marathi         14 (10.7) $0.246$ $0.246$ Muslim         29 (28.2) $0.001$ $0.246$ Muslim         29 (28.2) $0.74$ $0.74$ Religion         Muslim         29 (28.2) $0.74$ Muslear         53 (51.5) $0.864$ $0.001$ Secondary         06 (5.8) $0.118$ $0.118$ Age at Starting         Secondary $20 \pm 5.9$ $0.118$ Age at Status $3 \pm 1$ $0.118$ $3 \pm 1$ Age at Status $21 \pm 8.2$ $0.118$ $0.118$ Age at First Sex $(Mean \pm SD)$ $21 \pm 8.2$ <	N. 1. 1.0			
Single, never married20 (19.4)Bengali52 (50.5)Telugu16 (15.5)Kannada12 (11.7)Mother TongueMarathi14 (13.6)OthersOthers9 (8.7)Illiterate75 (72.8)Primary11 (10.7)Kannada12 (11.6)Middle11 (10.7)Secondary06 (5.8)Graduate0 (0.00)Secondary06 (5.8)Graduate0 (0.00)Hindu56 (54.4)Muslim29 (28.2)Others06 (5.8)Family TypeBrokenArt (45.6)0.864Joint3 (2.9)No. of Children>1 34 (33.0)No. of Children21 34 (33.0)Age at starting sexual (in years)24 22 (21.3)Age at starting per week #(Mean ± SD)21 ± ± 8.2(Mean ± SD)21 ± ± 8.2Ouration since Sex Work Debut (Mean ± SD)21 ± ± 8.2Sexual (in years)5 - 9Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean ± SD)21 ± ± 8.2No. of clients per week #7 ± 2No. of clients per week #7 ± 2No. of clients per week #3 ± 1With occasional clients #Condom use in last sex(Mean ± SD)7 ± 2No. of clients per deg (36.9)-With regulaCondom use in last sex(Mean ± SD)7 ± 2No. of clients per deg (36.9)-With regulaCondom use in last sex(Mean ± SD)7 ± 2 <td>Marital Status</td> <td></td> <td>59 (57.3)</td> <td>&lt; 0.0001</td>	Marital Status		59 (57.3)	< 0.0001
Mother Tongue         Bengali $52 (50.5)$ Telugu $16 (15.5)$ (13.6)           Morathi         14 (13.6)         0.246           Marathi         14 (13.6)         0.246           Others         9 (8.7)         0.246           Marathi         14 (13.6)         0.246           Primary         11 (10.7)         <0.0001			20 (19.4)	
Mother Tongue         Telugu         16 (15.5) (Marathi         0.246           Marathi         14 (13.6)         0.246           Marathi         14 (13.6)         0           Others         9 (8.7)         11           Illiterate         75 (72.8) $\gamma$ Primary         11 (10.7)         Secondary         06 (5.8)           Graduate         0 (0.00)         0         0.74           Religion         Graduate         0 (0.00)         0.74           Muslim         29 (28.2)         0.74           Others         06 (5.8)         0.74           Muslim         29 (28.2)         0.74           Muslear         53 (51.5)         0.864           Joint         3 (2.9)         0.864           No. Of Children         ≥1         34 (33.0)           No. of Children         ≥1         34 (33.0)           Sexual (in years)         Age at First Sex         20 ± 5.9           Age at Starting sexual (in years)         Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean ± SD)         0.118           Virta or since Sex Work         0.14         14           Personally         >9         18 (17.4)           No. of clients per weak #         0.16				
Mother Tongue         Kannada         12 (11.7)         0.246           Marathi         14 (13.6)         0           Others         9 (8.7)           Illiterate         75 (72.8)           Primary         11 (10.7)           Secondary         06 (5.8)           Graduate         0 (0.00)           Hindu         56 (54.4)           Muslim         29 (28.2)           Christian         12 (11.6)           Others         06 (5.8)           Family Type         Broken         47 (45.6)           Joint         3 (2.9)         0.864           No Child         69 (67.0)         0.864           Joint         3 (2.9)         0.118           Age at starting sexual (in years)         Age at First Sex         0.43 (33.0)           Age at starting sexual (in years)         Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean ± SD)         0.118           Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean ± SD)         20 ± 5.9         -           Quartion since Sex Work Debut (Mean ± SD)         8.4 ± 2.6         -           Debut (Mean ± SD)         7 ± 2         -           No. of clients per week #         Condom use in last sex         78 (75.7)         -           No. of clients per day (Mean ± SD) </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Marathi         14 (13.6)           Others         9 (8.7)           Illiterate         75 (72.8)           Primary         11 (10.7)           Middle         11 (10.7)           Secondary         06 (5.8)           Graduate         0 (0.00)           Muslim         29 (28.2)           Christian         12 (11.6)           Others         06 (5.8)           Others         06 (5.8)           Muslim         29 (28.2)           Christian         12 (11.6)           Others         06 (5.8)           Nuclear         53 (51.5)           Family Type         Broken         47 (45.6)           Joint         3 (2.9)         0.818           Age at starting sexual (in years) $8 (25 (24.3)$ Age at First Sex (Mean $\pm$ SD)         20 $\pm$ 5.9           Age at First Sex (Mean $\pm$ SD)         21 $\pm$ 8.2           Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD)         21 $\pm$ 8.2           Mo. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD)         3 $\pm$ 1           No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD)         7 $\pm$ 2           No. of clients per day (Mean $\pm$ SD)         3 $\pm$ 1           With occasional condom use in last sex (55 (63.1)         -	Mother Tongue			0.246
Others9 (8.7)Illiterate75 (72.8)Primary11 (10.7)KelucationMiddle11 (10.7)Secondary06 (5.8)Graduate0 (0.00)Muslim29 (28.2)Christian12 (11.6)Others06 (5.8)Others06 (5.8)Others06 (5.8)Others06 (5.8)Nuclear53 (51.5)Family TypeBrokenMuslim29 (28.2)Joint3 (2.9)No. of Children47 (45.6)> 134 (33.0)No. of Children66 (54.4)18 - 2425 (24.3)Age at Starting sexual (in years)20 ± 5.9Age at First Sex (Mean ± SD)20 ± 5.9Queat Sex Work Debut (Mean ± SD)21 ± 8.2Ouration since Sex Work Debut (Mean ± SD)21 ± 8.2No. of Clients per week #7 ± 2No. of Clients per week (Mean ± SD)3 ± 1With occasional clients #Condom use in last sex7 ± 2No. of clients per day (Mean ± SD)3 ± 1With regular clients #Condom use in last sex65 (63.1)With regular clients #Condom use in last sex65 (33.9)Personally known someone with HIV #No68 (66.1)Work during menses #Yes35 (33.9)Personally clients #Yes35 (33.9)NoYes35 (33.9)Age at I condom use in last sex65 (63.1)Consistent condom use38		Marathi		
Education         Primary         11 (10.7) $(10.7)$		Others		
Primary         11 (10.7) $(10.7)$ $(11.7)$ $(10.7)$		Illiterate	75 (72.8)	
Secondary         06 (5.8)           Graduate         0 (0.00)           Hindu         56 (54.4)           Muslim         29 (28.2)           Christian         12 (11.6)           Others         06 (5.8)           Family Type         Broken         47 (45.6)           Joint         3 (2.9)           No. of Children $20$ (37.3)           No. of Children $21$ (34 (30.0)           No. of Children $224$ (22 (21.3)           Age at starting sexual (in years) $Age at First Sex$ (Mean $\pm$ SD) $20 \pm 5.9$ Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ Outents per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ No. of clients per day (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ With occasional condom use in last sex (78 (75.7)) $-$ Consistent condom use (38 (36.9) $-$ With regular (clients #         Condom use in last sex (55 (33.1))           Consistent condom use (38 (36.9) $-$ With regular (clients # <td></td> <td>Primary</td> <td></td> <td></td>		Primary		
Secondary         06 (5.8)           Graduate         0 (0.00)           Hindu         56 (54.4)           Muslim         29 (28.2)           Christian         12 (11.6)           Others         06 (5.8)           Family Type         Broken         47 (45.6)           Joint         3 (2.9)           No. of Children $20$ (37.3)           No. of Children $21$ (34 (30.0)           No. of Children $224$ (22 (21.3)           Age at starting sexual (in years) $Age at First Sex$ (Mean $\pm$ SD) $20 \pm 5.9$ Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ Outents per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ No. of clients per day (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ With occasional condom use in last sex (78 (75.7)) $-$ Consistent condom use (38 (36.9) $-$ With regular (clients #         Condom use in last sex (55 (33.1))           Consistent condom use (38 (36.9) $-$ With regular (clients # <td>Education</td> <td>Middle</td> <td>11 (10.7)</td> <td>&lt; 0.0001</td>	Education	Middle	11 (10.7)	< 0.0001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Secondary		
Muslim $29 (28.2)$ $0.74$ Christian         12 (11.6) $0.74$ Others $06 (5.8)$ $0.74$ Family Type         Broken $47 (45.6)$ $0.864$ Joint $3 (2.9)$ $0.118$ $34 (33.0)$ $0.118$ No. of Children $\geq 1$ $34 (33.0)$ $0.118$ Age at starting sexual (in years) $< 18$ $56 (54.4)$ $18 - 24$ $25 (24.3)$ $> 24$ $22 (21.3)$ $Age at First Sex$ $20 \pm 5.9$ $-$ Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm SD$ ) $21 \pm 8.2$ $20 \pm 5.9$ $-$ Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm SD$ ) $21 \pm 8.2$ $ -$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm SD$ ) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ $ -$ No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm SD$ ) $3 \pm 1$ $ -$ Client volume per week # $(0.010$ use in last sex $78 (75.7)$ $ -$ No. of clients per week # $(0.010$ use in last sex $78 (75.7)$ $ -$ With occasional clients #         Conodom use in last sex $78 (55.7)$		Graduate	0 (0.00)	
Kengion         Christian         12 (11.6)         0.74           Others         06 (5.8)         0.864           Joint         3 (2.9)         0.864           Joint         3 (2.9)         0.118           No. of Children $\geq 1$ 34 (33.0)           No. of Children $\geq 1$ 34 (33.0)           Behavioral Pattern           Behavioral Pattern           Age at starting sexual (in years)           Age at Starting sexual (in years) $Age at First Sex (Mean \pm SD)$ $20 \pm 5.9$ Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean \pm SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ $-$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean \pm SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ $-$ Oticlients per week # $< 5 - 9$ $39 (37.9)$ $\geq 9$ $18 (17.4)$ $-$ No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm SD)$ $3 \pm 1$ With occasional clients #         Condom use in last sex 78 (75.7) $-$ Consistent condom use $38 (36.9)$ $ -$ With regular clients #         Condom use in last sex $65 (63.1)$ $-$ No $68 (66.1)$ $ -$ With regular clients # <td></td> <td>Hindu</td> <td>56 (54.4)</td> <td></td>		Hindu	56 (54.4)	
Christian         12 (11.6)           Others         o6 (5.8)           Nuclear         53 (51.5)           Broken         47 (45.6)           Joint         3 (2.9)           No. of Children $\geq 1$ $\geq 1$ 34 (33.0) $\geq 24$ 22 (21.3) $Age at First Sex         20 \pm 5.9 Age at Sex Work Debut         21 \pm 8.2 Duration since Sex Work         8.4 \pm 2.6 Duration since Sex Work         8.4 \pm 2.6 Petsonally No of clients per week           (Mean \pm SD) 7 \pm 2 No. 7 \pm 2 $	Poligion	Muslim	29 (28.2)	0.74
Family Type         Nuclear         53 (51.5)         0.864           Joint         3 (2.9)         0.864           Joint         3 (2.9)         0.118           No. of Children $\geq 1$ 34 (33.0)         0.118           Enavioral Pattern         34 (33.0)         0.118           Behavioral Pattern         34 (33.0)         0.118           Age at starting sexual (in years)         Age at First Sex (Mean ± SD)         20 ± 5.9           Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean ± SD)         21 ± 8.2         -           Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean ± SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ -           Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean ± SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ -           Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean ± SD) $7 \pm 2$ -           No. of clients per week (Mean ± SD) $7 \pm 2$ -           No. of clients per day (Mean ± SD) $3 \pm 1$ -           With occasional clients #         Condom use in last sex 78 (75.7)         -           Consistent condom use 38 (36.9)         -         -           Personally known someone with HIV #         No         68 (66.1)         -           Work during menses #         No         29 (28.2)         -         -           Sexual	Kengion		12 (11.6)	0.74
Family Type         Broken $47 (45.6)$ $0.864$ Joint $3 (2.9)$ $0.118$ No. of Children $\geq 1$ $34 (33.0)$ $0.118$ $\geq 1$ $34 (33.0)$ $0.118$ Behavioral Pattern $21 (33.0)$ $0.118$ Age at Starting sexual (in years) $\leq 18$ $56 (54.4)$ $-$ Age at Starting sexual (in years)         Age at First Sex (Mean $\pm$ SD) $20 \pm 5.9$ $-$ Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ $ -$ Duration since Sex Work bebut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ $  (Mean \pm SD)$ $8.4 \pm 2.6$ $   (Mean \pm SD)$ $8.4 \pm 2.6$ $   (Mean \pm SD)$ $8.4 \pm 2.6$ $    (Mean \pm SD)$ $8.4 \pm 2.6$ $    (Mean \pm SD)$ $8.4 \pm 2.6$ $      (Mean \pm SD)$ $3 \pm 1$			06 (5.8)	
Joint $3(2.9)$ No. of Children         No Child $69(67.0)$ $\geq 1$ $34(33.0)$ $0.118$ Behavioral Pattern $21$ $34(33.0)$ Behavioral Pattern           Behavioral Pattern           Behavioral Pattern           Age at starting sexual (in years)           Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $20 \pm 5.9$ Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ Client volume per week # $< 5 - 9$ $39(37.9)$ $\geq 9$ $18(17.4)$ $-$ No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ $-$ With occasional clients #         Condom use in last sex $78(75.7)$ $-$ Consistent condom use $38(36.9)$ $ -$ With regular clients #         Condom use in last sex $65(63.1)$ $-$ Quint of Sitent condom use $38(36.9)$ $ -$ Personally known someone with HIV #         No				
No. of Children         No Child $69(67.0)$ $\geq 1$ $0.118$ $\geq 1$ $34(33.0)$ $0.118$ Behavioral Pattern $34(33.0)$ $0.118$ Behavioral Pattern           Behavioral Pattern           Age at starting sexual (in years) $34(33.0)$ $0.118$ Age at starting sexual (in years) $324(22(21.3))$ $-$ Age at First Sex (Mean $\pm$ SD) $20 \pm 5.9$ $-$ Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ $-$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ $ \delta = 5 - 9$ $39(37.9)$ $> 9$ $18(17.4)$ No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $7 \pm 2$ $-$ No. of clients per day (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ $-$ With occasional clients #         Condom use in last sex 55(63.1) $-$ With regular clients #         Condom use in last sex 55(33.9) $-$ Personally known someone with HIV #         No $68(66.1)$ $-$ Work during menses #         Yes 74(71.8) $ -$ Vaginal $48(46$	Family Type	Broken	47 (45.6)	0.864
No. of Children $\geq 1$ $34 (33.0)$ $0.118$ Behavioral Pattern $34 (33.0)$ $0.118$ Behavioral Pattern         Behavioral Pattern $34 (33.0)$ $0.118$ Behavioral Pattern $18 - 24$ $25 (24.3)$ $> 24$ $22 (21.3)$ Age at Starting sexual (in years)       Age at First Sex (Mean $\pm SD$ ) $20 \pm 5.9$ Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm SD$ ) $21 \pm 8.2$ $-$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm SD$ ) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ $-$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm SD$ ) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ $-$ No of clients per week (Mean $\pm SD$ ) $7 \pm 2$ $-$ No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm SD$ ) $3 \pm 1$ $-$ With occasional clients $\#$ Condom use in last sex $78 (75.7)$ $-$ Colients $\#$ Condom use in last sex $65 (63.1)$ $-$ With regular clients $\#$ Condom use in last sex $65 (63.1)$ $-$ With HiV $\#$ No $68 (66.1)$ $-$ Wrok during menses $\#$ No $29 (28.2)$ $-$ Nore during menses $\#$ No				
$\geq 1$ $34 (33.0)$ Behavioral Pattern         Behavioral Pattern         Behavioral Pattern         Behavioral Pattern         Behavioral Pattern         Sexual (in years)         Age at starting sexual (in years) $Age at First Sex (20 \pm 5.9)$ Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ Outration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $7 \pm 2$ No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $7 \pm 2$ No. of clients per day (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ With occasional clients #       Condom use in last sex 78 (75.7)       -         Condom use in last sex 56 (63.1)       -         Consistent condom use 38 (36.9)       -         Personally known someone with HIV #       No       68 (66.1)         Work during menses #       No       29 (28.2)       -         Vaginal       48 (46.6)       -         Oral       29 (28.2)	No. of Children	No Child		0.118
Age at starting sexual (in years) $< 18$ $56 (54.4)$ $18 - 24$ $25 (24.3)$ $> 24$ $22 (21.3)$ Age at First Sex (Mean $\pm$ SD) $20 \pm 5.9$ Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ Outration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $7 \pm 2$ No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $7 \pm 2$ No. of clients per day (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ With occasional clients $\#$ Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use $78 (75.7)$ Consistent condom useWith regular clients $\#$ Condom use in last sex Sexual practices $\#$ $68 (66.1)$ Work during menses $\#$ Yes $74 (71.8)$ No $-$ Waginal $48 (46.6)$ Sexual practices $\#$ $7a (28.2)$ $-$	rio. or emilaren			0.110
Age at starting sexual (in years) $18 - 24$ $> 24$ $22$ (21.3) $20 \pm 5.9$ $20 \pm 5.9$ Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ $21 \pm 8.2$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ $21 \pm 8.2$ Client volume per week # $<5$ $(Mean \pm$ SD)No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $7 \pm 2$ No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ With occasional clients #Condom use in last sex (Mean $\pm$ SD)With regular clients #Condom use in last sex (Consistent condom use $38$ (36.9)Personally known someone with HIV #No NoMork during menses #Yes No $29$ (28.2)Vaginal Oral, Anal $29$ (28.2)				
Age at starting sexual (in years) $> 24$ $22 (21.3)$ Age at starting sexual (in years)Age at First Sex (Mean $\pm$ SD) $20 \pm 5.9$ Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ Client volume per week # $5 - 9$ $39 (37.9)$ No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $7 \pm 2$ No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ With occasional clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use Sexual practices # $78 (75.7)$ Consistent condom use $38 (36.9)$ Personally known someone with HIV #No $68 (66.1)$ Work during menses #Yes $74 (71.8)$ NoVaginal $48 (46.6)$ Sexual practices #Oral $29 (28.2)$				
Age at starting sexual (in years)Age at First Sex (Mean $\pm$ SD) $20 \pm 5.9$ Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ Client volume per week # $< 5$ $46 (44.7)$ $5 - 9$ No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $7 \pm 2$ No. of clients per day (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ With occasional clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use $25 (24.3)$ -With regular clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use $38 (36.9)$ -Personally known someone with HIV #No $68 (66.1)$ -Work during menses #Yes $74 (71.8)$ No-Vaginal Coral $48 (46.6)$ Sexual practices #Oral $29 (28.2)$ -				
Age at starting sexual (in years)(Mean $\pm$ SD) $20 \pm 5.9$ -Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ $21 \pm 8.2$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ Client volume per week # $< 5 = 46 (44.7)$ $5 = 9 = 39 (37.9)$ > $> 9 = 18 (17.4)$ No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $7 \pm 2$ No. of clients per day (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ With occasional clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use (Mean $\pm$ SD) $-$ With regular clients #Condom use in last sex (Consistent condom use (Sig 3.9) $-$ Personally known someone with HIV #No $68 (66.1)$ Work during menses #Yes $74 (71.8)$ No $-$ Vaginal Oral Oral A8 (46.6) $-$			22 (21.3)	
(Mean $\pm$ SD)-Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ Client volume per week # $< 5$ $46$ ( $44.7$ ) $5 - 9$ $39$ ( $37.9$ ) $> 9$ $18$ ( $17.4$ )No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $7 \pm 2$ No. of clients per day (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ With occasional clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use $78$ ( $75.7$ )Consistent condom use $25$ ( $24.3$ )-With regular clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use $38$ ( $36.9$ )Personally known someone with HIV #No $68$ ( $66.1$ )Work during menses #Yes $74$ ( $71.8$ ) Poral-Waginal $48$ ( $46.6$ )-Sexual practices #Oral $29$ ( $28.2$ )-	Age at starting		$20 \pm 5.9$	
Age at Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $21 \pm 8.2$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ Client volume per week # $< 5$ $46$ ( $44.7$ ) $5 - 9$ $39$ ( $37.9$ ) $> 9$ $18$ ( $17.4$ )No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $7 \pm 2$ No. of clients per day (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ With occasional clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use $78$ ( $75.7$ )Consistent condom use $25$ ( $24.3$ )-With regular clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use $38$ ( $36.9$ )Personally known someone with HIV #No $68$ ( $66.1$ )Work during menses #Yes $74$ ( $71.8$ ) Poral-Vaginal $48$ ( $46.6$ )-Sexual practices #Oral $29$ ( $28.2$ )-				-
Duration since Sex Work Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD) $8.4 \pm 2.6$ $A \pm 2.6$ <td></td> <td></td> <td><math>21 \pm 8.2</math></td> <td></td>			$21 \pm 8.2$	
Debut (Mean $\pm$ SD)       8.4 $\pm$ 2.6 $< 5$ 46 (44.7) $5 - 9$ 39 (37.9) $> 9$ 18 (17.4)         No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $7 \pm 2$ No. of clients per day (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ With occasional clients #       Condom use in last sex (Consistent condom use in last sex (Secuel presonally known someone with HIV #       Condom use in last sex (Secuel practices #         Work during menses #       Yes No       28 (36.9)         Vaginal       48 (46.6)         Sexual practices #       Oral       29 (28.2)				
$\begin{array}{c c} < 5 & 46 (44.7) \\ \hline 5 - 9 & 39 (37.9) \\ > 9 & 18 (17.4) \\ \hline \text{No. of clients per week} \\ (Mean \pm SD) & 7 \pm 2 \\ \hline \text{No. of clients per day} \\ (Mean \pm SD) & 3 \pm 1 \\ \hline \text{With occasional} \\ \text{clients } \# & \text{Condom use in last sex} & 78 (75.7) \\ \text{Consistent condom use} & 25 (24.3) \\ \hline \text{Condom use in last sex} & 65 (63.1) \\ \hline \text{Condom use in last sex} & 65 (63.1) \\ \hline \text{Consistent condom use} & 38 (36.9) \\ \hline \text{Personally} \\ \text{known someone} \\ \text{with HIV } \# & \text{No} & 68 (66.1) \\ \hline \text{Work during} \\ \text{menses } \# & \text{No} & 29 (28.2) \\ \hline \text{Vaginal} & 48 (46.6) \\ \hline \text{Sexual practices } \# & \text{Oral} & 29 (28.2) \\ \hline \text{Oral, Anal} & 26 (25.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$			8.4 ± 2.6	
Client volume per week # $5-9$ (Mean $\pm$ SD) $39 (37.9)$ $18 (17.4)$ No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $7 \pm 2$ No. of clients per day (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ With occasional clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use $78 (75.7)$ (Secure 25 (24.3)With regular clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use $65 (63.1)$ (Secure 28 (26 (26 (26 (26 (26 (26 (26 (26 (26 (26			46 (44 7)	
$\begin{array}{c c} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$				
Cheff volume per week #No. of clients per week (Mean $\pm$ SD) $7 \pm 2$ No. of clients per day (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ With occasional clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use Sexual practices # $78 (75.7)$ Consistent condom use $88 (36.9)$ -Personally with HIV #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use $88 (36.9)$ -Personally with HIV #Yes No $35 (33.9)$ $68 (66.1)$ Work during menses #Yes No $74 (71.8)$ $29 (28.2)$ Sexual practices #Oral Oral $29 (28.2)$				
per week #(Mean $\pm$ SD) $7 \pm 2$ No. of clients per day (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ With occasional clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use 25 (24.3) $-$ With regular clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use (Mean $\pm$ SD) $-$ Personally known someone with HIV #Yes No $35 (33.9)$ $-$ Work during menses #Yes No $29 (28.2)$ Vaginal Oral $48 (46.6)$ $29 (28.2)$ $-$			10 (1/.4)	_
No. of clients per day (Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ With occasional clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use 25 (24.3)78 (75.7) Consistent condom use 25 (24.3)With regular clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use 38 (36.9)-Personally known someone with HIV #Yes No35 (33.9) 68 (66.1)Work during menses #Yes No29 (28.2)Vaginal Oral Oral48 (46.6) 29 (28.2)-	per week #	(Mean + SD)	$7 \pm 2$	
(Mean $\pm$ SD) $3 \pm 1$ With occasional clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use 25 (24.3)78 (75.7) Consistent condom use 25 (24.3)With regular clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use 38 (36.9)65 (63.1) Consistent condom use 38 (36.9)Personally known someone with HIV #Yes No35 (33.9) Consistent condom use 29 (28.2)Work during menses #Yes No29 (28.2)Vaginal Oral Oral Anal26 (25.2)				
With occasional clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use 25 (24.3)78 (75.7) Consistent condom use 25 (24.3)With regular clients #Condom use in last sex Consistent condom use Consistent condom use25 (24.3)Personally known someone with HIV #Consistent condom use (38 (36.9))-No68 (66.1)-Work during menses #Yes No74 (71.8) (29 (28.2))Sexual practices #Oral (Oral, Anal)29 (28.2)			$3 \pm 1$	
clients #Consistent condom use25 (24.3)With regular clients #Condom use in last sex65 (63.1) Consistent condom use	With occasional		78 (75.7)	
With regular clients #Condom use in last sex65 (63.1)Personally known someone with HIV #Yes38 (36.9)No68 (66.1)-Work during menses #Yes74 (71.8)Vaginal48 (46.6)-Sexual practices #Oral29 (28.2)Oral, Anal26 (25.2)-				-
clients #Consistent condom use38 (36.9)Personally known someone with HIV #Yes35 (33.9)No68 (66.1)-Work during menses #Yes74 (71.8)No29 (28.2)-Vaginal48 (46.6)Sexual practices #Oral29 (28.2)Oral, Anal26 (25.2)-				
Personally known someone with HIV #         Yes         35 (33.9)           Work during menses #         No         68 (66.1)           Work during menses #         Yes         74 (71.8)           Vaginal         48 (46.6)           Sexual practices #         Oral         29 (28.2)           Oral, Anal         26 (25.2)				-
known someone with HIV #         No         55 (35.9)         -           Work during menses #         No         68 (66.1)         -           Vaginal         Yes         74 (71.8)         -           Vaginal         48 (46.6)         -         -           Sexual practices #         Oral         29 (28.2)         -				
Work during menses #         Yes         74 (71.8)           No         29 (28.2)         -           Vaginal         48 (46.6)         -           Sexual practices #         Oral         29 (28.2)           Oral         29 (28.2)         -	-			-
menses #         No         29 (28.2)         -           Vaginal         48 (46.6)         -         -           Sexual practices #         Oral         29 (28.2)         -           Oral, Anal         26 (25.2)         -	with HIV #	No	68 (66.1)	
menses #         No         29 (28.2)           Vaginal         48 (46.6)           Sexual practices #         Oral         29 (28.2)           Oral, Anal         26 (25.2)		Yes	74 (71.8)	
Sexual practices #         Oral         29 (28.2)         -           Oral, Anal         26 (25.2)         -				-
Sexual practices #         Oral         29 (28.2)         -           Oral, Anal         26 (25.2)         -		Vaginal		
	Sexual practices #	Oral		-
	1	Oral Anal	26 (25.2)	

+-Modified BG Prasad classification [8]

# - In preceding six months

belonged to lower middle class socio-economic status as per the modified BG Prasad classification and with more than half (57.3%) of the study population either divorced/widow or separated from their male counterpart and family. Most of the workers interviewed responded poverty (84%) and broken family (76%) as the main reasons for resorting to the sex trade. Most of the workers (72.8%) were illiterate and more than half (67%) did not have any children. A staggering number of the workers (54.4%) had their first sex encounter below the adult age (<18 years) with mean age at first sex being 20 ±5.9 years. Mean age at sex work debut was 21± 8.2 years and the mean duration since sex work debut being 8.4±2.6 years. Less than half the study participants (44.7%) reported to have less than 5 clients per week with a mean no. of clients per day being 3±1. A small proportion of the study population reported of having used condoms consistently with their occasional (24.3%) and regular clients (36.9%) in the preceding six months. Majority (71.8%) of the FSW's reported of having sex with their clients even during their menses and almost half (46.6%) of them were indulged in vaginal intercourse. others indulging in oral without/with anal sex.

Knowledge, attitude and practices of the FSWs are depicted in Table 2. Most of the FSWs (84.5%) were aware about HIV/AIDS and television was the common source of this information but only 33% were aware of the status of their partner. About more than half the FSWs (55.3%) knew about all modes of HIV transmission and one third (33%) were unaware of the any of the modes through which the virus infects human beings. About one third of the workers (31.1%) knew about blood transmission as a possible transmission mode of the virus whereas only 27.2% knew about contaminated syringes and blades as a possible source of infection. A small number (13.6%) knew that HIV could be transmitted to the child through breast feeding from an infected mother. The myths governing the transmission of HIV/AIDS was also evaluated with 33.1% of the FSWs having the perception that HIV could be transmitted by eating/playing/staying /shaking hands with infected, 27.2% by sharing

utensils (plates, cups spoons with an infected person) and 17.5% believing that mosquito/insect bite could also be a possible source of virus transmission. More than half of the FSWs (56.3%) were aware that HIV/AIDS could be prevented and 44.7% were even aware of atleast 2 or more symptoms/signs of STDs.

Table-2:	Knowledge,	Attitude	and	Practices	about	HIV/AIDS	among	Study	Population	(Data	in
parenthe	sis indicate %	<b>6</b> )									

Question on Knowledge, Attitu	Number (n=103)	p-value				
Knowledge						
Have you heard of HIV/AIDS and what was the	Yes (by television)	87 (84.5)				
commonest source of this information	No	16 (15.5)	<0.0001			
Knowledge about HIV status of their partner (living-in o	lient/husband	34 (33.0)	0.0008			
	Knows all	57 (55.3)				
	Unprotected sex with an infected person	69 (67.0)				
	Blood transfusion	32 (31.1)				
Modes of HIV transmission \$	Contaminated instruments like syringes, clippers, blades	28 (27.2)	-			
	Child can get infected with HIV through breast feeding	14 (13.6)				
	Don't know	34 (33.0)				
Aware that HIV/AIDS could be prevented	58 (56.3)	0.2370				
Aware of at least 2 STDs symptoms/signs		46 (44.7)	0.3245			
	Sharing utensils (plates, cups spoons with an infected person)	28 (27.2)				
	Sharing towels, pants, under wears	22 (21.4)				
	As punishment for past misdeeds	12 (11.6)				
Myths about transmission of HIV/AIDS \$	By eating/ playing/staying/shaking hands with infected	34 (33.1)	-			
	Via mosquito/insect bite	18 (17.5)				
	A cure for AIDS is now available in India	22 (21.4)				
Attitud	le and Practices					
Mill work with clients over often positive status	Yes	87 (84.5)	<0.0001			
Will work with clients even after positive status	No	16 (15.5)	<0.0001			
	To earn money	86 (83.5)	<0.0001			
Reasons for working/ not working after positive status	Fear of HIV spread	14 (13.6)				
	No one to take care	03 (2.9)				
Mill work with align to while be a set of the last	Yes	90 (87.4)	10.0001			
Will work with clients while having genital lesions	No	13 (12.6)	<0.0001			
	To earn money	76 (33.8)	<0.0001			
Descent for each in the literation of the literation	Painless lesion	09 (8.7)				
Reasons for working/ not working with clients with	Unaware of complications	05 (4.9)				
genital lesions	Fear of acquiring HIV	07 (6.8)				
	Dirty feeling	06 (5.8)				
There affine the first interview in 1960 Premarital		28 (27.2)				
Type of first exposure (first intercourse in life)	Marital	75 (72.8)	<0.0001			

\$ -Multiple response

# DISCUSSION

This study evaluated the knowledge, attitude and practices followed by the female sex workers in the red light area of Mumbai and their behavioral pattern. This study highlights the lacunae of knowledge in the FSWs and their myths associated with HIV/AIDS.

### **Socio-Demographic Characteristics**

Most FSWs in the present study had their first sexual debut at a very young age and started sex work at about 4-5 years later. Similar observations were made by Hemalatha R et al<sup>[9]</sup> and in a survey carried out in 2006.<sup>[10]</sup> The major reason for the FSW's in our study, resorting to commercial sex trade was because of their poor socio-economic status and broken family. Most of the FSWs had migrated from different states of India. Similarly a study done by Pal D et al<sup>[11]</sup> at Kolkata among sex workers had found that the majority of the sex workers belonged to various regions of India with most from Bengal (52%), Andhra Pradesh (16%), and Karnataka (12%). A similar demographic profile was observed in the female sex workers in our study group. Nepal, Bengal, Bangladesh are major areas involved in sex trafficking and Mumbai being the economic capital with better earning prospectus, is the destination for most. Also the educational status of the FSWs in our group was consistent with the findings of Pal D et al<sup>[11]</sup> suggesting illiteracy as one of the vulnerable factor for resorting to the sex trade as a profession.

### Knowledge about HIV/AIDS

Most of the sex workers (84.5%) were aware of HIV/AIDS, while only 55.3% were aware of all the possible modes of transmission of HIV and 56.3% of these had correct knowledge of its prevention. This percentage is much higher than found by Paul D et al<sup>[12]</sup> where the knowledge of STD/HIV infection was quite low (49.48%) of the CSWs enrolled and around 49.6% knew that HIV/STD could be prevented by condom use. Our findings also suggest that the myths revolving around the possible transmission of the HIV is high and most of the FSWs were ignorant about the actual modes of transmission, even though they knew about AIDS.

### **Attitude and Practices**

In our study, only one third of the FSWs practiced using condoms with their regular clients. Similar observations were made by Hemalatha R et al<sup>[9]</sup> in their study. A cochrane review indicates that consistent condom use results in 80% reduction in HIV incidence.<sup>[13]</sup> These issues about using contraceptives, barrier in particular, is necessary in reduction of spread of the disease. Also most of the FSWs continued their sexual practice irrespective of presence of any genital lesions in order to earn a living for their family. This highlights the need to create employment opportunities for female sex workers in order to help them give up this sex trade.

## Limitations of the Study

The major drawback of the study was that it was not feasible to enroll the entire female sex

workers population for the study due to limited resources and resistance from brothel owners. Furthermore, it cannot be denied that the street based sex worker's knowledge and practices and their socio-demographic profile may differ from these findings as it was not possible to include them because of their migratory nature, odd working hours and time frame of the study. Perhaps, the economic status of all the FSWs enrolled could not be validated as few of the respondents reluctantly disclosed their approximate per capita income and did not reveal the exact money paid by their clients.

# CONCLUSION

Routine screening and periodic surveys are warranted in order for early detection of infections including HIV and other STDs. Counseling and partner notification should be done along with the management of RTI/STIs symptoms in women. Government should create employment opportunities for female sex workers in order for them to earn a living. Mass scale promotion of condom use while having a sexual intercourse could be another strategy that could be implemented. Implementation evaluation of different models and of integrating RTI/STI/HIV services with the existing services and with a provision of enhanced quality effectiveness is required. Perhaps, awareness of the potential risks and more open discussions either in the form of group discussion, on occasions of community gatherings or cultural celebrations, workshops, seminars, or via local health authority or community leader is necessary, both publicly and privately and also peer education by the motivated members for counseling and experience sharing with imparting correct knowledge about HIV/AIDS.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We express our deep sense of gratitude to the Dr. Radha Y. Aras, Ex. Professor and Head, Dept. of Community Medicine, T. N. Medical College, Mumbai. We also acknowledge the help of Mr. D. D. Malwad (CDO) for his assistance during the study.

## REFERENCES

- 1. International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, April 2012
- 2. Census of India, 2001: http://www.censusindia.gov.in/ accessed on Dec 21, 2007.
- NACO. HIV sentinel surveillance and HIV estimation in India 2007: A technical brief. Social morbidity of AIDS in high prevalence districts of Andhra Pradesh. New Delhi: Ministry of Health & Family Welfare; 2007.
- 4. National AIDS Control Organization: National Baseline High Risk and Bridge Population Behavioural Surveillance survey-2001 Part-I: Female Sex Workers and their clients; New Delhi; 2004. Available from: http://www.nacoonline.org/publication/4l.pdf, accessed on April 15, 2006
- 5. International Institute of Population of Sciences and Macro International. National Family Health Survey (2005-2006) (NFHS-3), Vol. I. Mumbai: IIPS; 2007
- 6. UNAIDS. Epidemiological fact sheet on HIV and AIDS: India. 2008; available at: http://www.who.int/globalatlas/predefinedRep orts/EFS2008/full/EFS2008\_IN.pdf, accessed on March 3, 2009.
- Venkataramana CB, Sarada PV. Extent and speed of spread of HIV infection in India through the commercial sex networks: a perspective. Tropical Medicine Int Health 2001; 6(1):1040-61.
- 8. The text book of Preventive and Social Medicine. In: Mahajan, Gupta, editors. 3rd edition. 2003; p117-8.

- 9. Hemalatha R, Kumar RH, Venkaiah K, Srinivasan K, Brahmam G. Prevalence of & knowledge, attitude & practices towards HIV & sexually transmitted infections (STIs) among female sex workers (FSWs) in Andhra Pradesh. Indian J Med Res 2011; 134:470-5.
- Dandona R, Dandona L, Anil Kumar G, Gutierrez JP, McPherson S, Samueis F. Demography and sex work characteristics of female sex workers in India. BMC Int Health Hum Rights 2006; 6:5.
- 11. Pal D, Raut DK, Das A. A study of HIV/STD infections amongst commercial sex workers in Kolkata (India). Part-I: some socio-demographic features of commercial sex worker J Commun Dis. 2003 Jun; (35):90-5.
- Pal D, Raut DK, Das A, A study of HIV/STD infections amongst commercial sex workers in Kolkata (India). Part-II: sexual behavior, knowledge and attitude towards STD/HIV infections Sex Transm Infect. J Commun Dis. 2003 Sep; (35):182-7.
- Weller SC, Davis K. Condom effectiveness in reducing heterosexual HIV transmission. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2002 ;(1) : CD003255.

**Cite this article as:** Giri PA, Hiremath RC, Kasbe AM. Sexual behaviour, knowledge, attitude and practices regarding HIV/AIDS amongst female sex workers (FSWs) in red light area of Mumbai city. Int J Med Sci Public Health 2012; 1:132-137. **Source of Support: Nil** 

Conflict of interest: None declared